Chapter 2
Rights and Protections Afforded to Juveniles

2.1 Sources of Juvenile Rights and Protections

The U.S. Supreme Court has recognized that juveniles have many of the constitutional due process rights afforded adult defendants: the right to counsel, the right to notice of the charges against them, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses, and the right against self-incrimination. In re Gault, 387 U.S. 1 (1967). Juveniles also have the right to have the alleged offense proven beyond a reasonable doubt, In re Winship, 397 U.S. 358, 368 (1970), and the right to be free from double jeopardy. Breed v. Jones, 421 U.S. 519,
The North Carolina Juvenile Code provides additional statutory rights to juveniles, such as the right to have a parent present during in-custody interrogation, the presumption of indigency, and confidentiality of information related to juvenile court proceedings. G.S. 7B-2101(a), (b); 7A-2000(b); 7A-3000(b). The principal rights are discussed in this chapter, although it is not intended to be exhaustive.

### 2.2 Constitutional Rights Not Afforded to Juveniles

The U.S. Supreme Court has held that juveniles are not afforded the right to trial by jury. *McKeiver v. Pennsylvania*, 403 U.S. 528, 545 (1971). The Supreme Court has not ruled on whether juveniles have the right to bail, the right to a speedy trial, or the right to self-representation under the United States Constitution, and the North Carolina General Assembly did not extend those rights to juveniles as part of the Juvenile Code. G.S. 7B-2405.

Each of these rights attaches on transfer of a juvenile case to superior court for trial as an adult. If the prosecutor requests transfer of the case to superior court, counsel should advise the juvenile of these differences.

Transfer of a juvenile case to superior court is almost always detrimental to the juvenile in the long term. Some juveniles may believe that transfer is a good alternative—for example, a juvenile who is in secure custody pending hearing and who would probably be released on bail in superior court, or a juvenile who faces commitment to a youth development center and who might get probation in superior court. Counsel should advise the juvenile of the potentially harsh consequences of transfer, such as having a criminal record or being sentenced to prison. *See infra* § 9.8, Transfer of Jurisdiction to Superior Court.

### 2.3 Right to Counsel

The juvenile’s constitutional right to counsel was first recognized by the U.S. Supreme Court in *In re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1, 41 (1967). This right is codified in G.S. 7B-2000, which states that the juvenile has the right to be represented by counsel in all delinquency proceedings. The right to counsel extends to hearings on revocation of post-release supervision, G.S. 7B-2516, but not to the juvenile court counselor’s decision to file a juvenile petition. Nevertheless, if counsel is retained or appointed to represent the juvenile on another case, counsel could assist the juvenile while the court counselor screens the case. *See infra* § 5.1B, Importance to Juvenile’s Counsel.

In addition, all juveniles are conclusively presumed to be indigent and must be appointed counsel in any proceeding in which the juvenile is alleged to be delinquent unless counsel is retained for the juvenile. Although the right to an appointed attorney extends to appeals, juveniles are not entitled to an appointed attorney in expunction proceedings. *See* G.S. 7A-451 (defining the scope of the entitlement to appointment of counsel).
By statute, the juvenile also must be advised during any custodial interrogation of the “right to consult with an attorney and that one will be appointed . . . if the juvenile is not represented and wants representation.” G.S. 7B-2101(a)(4). Questioning must cease once the juvenile has invoked the right to consult an attorney. See G.S. 7B-2101(c) (questioning must cease if juvenile indicates wish not to be questioned further). Under G.S. 7B-1501(17), “[w]herever the term ‘juvenile’ is used with reference to rights and privileges, that term encompasses the attorney for the juvenile as well.”

Counsel for the juvenile serves as the juvenile’s “voice to the court, representing the expressed interests of the juvenile at every stage of the proceedings.” IDS Performance Guidelines for Appointed Counsel in Juvenile Delinquency Proceedings at the Trial Level, Performance Guideline 2.1(a) (2007); see also infra Appendix 3-1, Role of Defense Counsel in Juvenile Delinquency Proceedings (stating that the juvenile’s attorney “is bound to advocate the expressed interests of the juvenile”). Counsel does not seek to advance the juvenile’s best interests, as defined by the juvenile’s parents or guardian, the prosecutor, or the trial court. Instead, the role of the juvenile defense attorney is to seek the juvenile’s input, understand the juvenile’s perspective, and enable the juvenile, to the greatest extent possible, to decide how to proceed. If counsel does not serve the juvenile’s expressed interests, “the juvenile would be subjected to a pre-Gault proceeding in which protecting the juvenile’s due process rights are relegated to a mere technicality.” Robin Walker Sterling, Role of Juvenile Defense Counsel in Delinquency Court at 8 (National Juvenile Defender Center 2009).

2.4 Right Against Self-Incrimination

This section briefly reviews a juvenile’s right against self-incrimination. For a more in-depth review, see infra § 11.3, Bases for Motions to Suppress Statement or Admission of Juvenile, and § 11.4, Case Law: Motions to Suppress In-Custody Statements of Juveniles.

A. Constitutional Right

The constitutional right against self-incrimination guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment has been held applicable to juvenile proceedings by the U.S. Supreme Court. In re Gault, 387 U.S. 1, 55 (1967). A juvenile cannot be compelled to give information that could later be used against the juvenile in an adjudicatory hearing and cannot be compelled to testify. Id.

B. Statutory Rights

A juvenile in custody is entitled to statutory protections that include and go beyond the requirements of Miranda warnings. G.S. 7B-2101. The Juvenile Code provides that any juvenile in custody must be advised before questioning of the following: the right to remain silent; that any statement the juvenile chooses to make may be used against the juvenile; that the juvenile has the right to have a parent, guardian, or custodian present during the questioning; and that the juvenile has a right to an attorney and that one will be
appointed on request. G.S. 7B-2101(a); see infra § 11.3, Bases for Motions to Suppress Statement or Admission of Juvenile.

Additionally, a juvenile under 16 years of age cannot waive the presence of a parent, guardian, or custodian during interrogation. G.S. 7B-2101(b). If an attorney is not present, interrogating officers must also advise the juvenile’s parent, guardian, or custodian of the juvenile’s rights. However, the juvenile’s rights may not be waived by the juvenile’s parent, guardian, or custodian. Id.

If the requirements of G.S. 7B-2101(b) are satisfied, the juvenile may waive the right against self-incrimination. State v. Flowers, 128 N.C. App. 697, 701-02 (1998). The State bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the waiver is knowing and intelligent. Id. The court must then determine, based on the “specific facts and circumstances of each case, including background, experience, and conduct” of the juvenile,” whether the waiver was knowing and intelligent. State v. Johnson, 136 N.C. App. 683, 693 (2000).

C. Admission to Juvenile Court Counselor at Intake

A statement made by the juvenile to the juvenile court counselor during the intake process is not admissible before the dispositional hearing. G.S. 7B-2408. There is no provision for the juvenile’s waiver of this protection. Counsel should object to admission at the adjudicatory hearing of any inculpatory statements made by the juvenile to the juvenile court counselor during the intake process.

2.5 Right to Standard of Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt

Juveniles have the constitutional right under the Due Process Clause of the 14th Amendment to be adjudicated under the standard of proof of beyond a reasonable doubt. In re Winship, 397 U.S. 358, 368 (1970). In Winship, the U.S. Supreme Court recognized that although important differences exist between juvenile proceedings and criminal trials, the potential for the juvenile’s loss of liberty requires that the standard of proof of beyond a reasonable doubt be applied in juvenile delinquency proceedings. Id. at 366–68. This right is codified in G.S. 7B-2409. See infra § 12.5D, Burden of Proof.

2.6 Right to Be Free from Double Jeopardy

Juveniles have the right to be free from double jeopardy. Breed v. Jones, 421 U.S. 519, 541 (1975). Jeopardy attaches in juvenile cases when the trial court begins to hear evidence. In re Hunt and In re Dowd, 46 N.C. App. 732, 735 (1980). Based on double jeopardy principles, a court may not adjudicate the juvenile delinquent for an offense and then transfer the juvenile to adult court for prosecution of the same offense. Breed, 421 U.S. at 541; In re J.L.W., 136 N.C. App. 596, 598 (2000). Additionally, if the court dismisses a petition based on the lack of sufficient evidence, the State may not prosecute
the juvenile based on a new petition for the same offense or a greater or lesser offense. *See also In re Drakeford*, 32 N.C. App. 113, 119 (1977) (vacating adjudication for affray because the trial court had previously dismissed a petition for assault, which arose out of the same incident as the affray, and jeopardy had attached on the assault petition before it was dismissed).

A juvenile’s right to double jeopardy is ordinarily not violated when the trial court continues an adjudication hearing for the State to subpoena witnesses. *See Hunt and Dowd*, 46 N.C. App. at 735 (in two related appeals, trial court did not violate the respondents’ right to be free from double jeopardy by continuing the cases so the State could present the testimony of additional witnesses). But, a mid-adjudication continuance may violate double jeopardy in limited circumstances, such as when the adjudication begins anew. *See State v. Coats*, 17 N.C. App. 407 (1973); *see also* 1 NORTH CAROLINA DEFENDER MANUAL § 10.8D, Extending Session to Complete Trial (2d ed. 2013) (discussing other circumstances in which double jeopardy may be violated by mid-trial continuance).

### 2.7 Right to an Open Hearing

Juvenile hearings are open by statute, although a hearing may be closed to the public for good cause on motion of a party or the court unless the juvenile requests that it be open. In ruling on a motion to close a hearing, the court must consider the allegations against the juvenile, the age and maturity of the juvenile, the benefit of confidentiality to the juvenile, and the possibility of breach of confidentiality of the juvenile court file and weigh these factors against the benefit to the public of an open hearing. G.S. 7B-2402. It is within the court’s discretion whether to close the hearing, and the court’s ruling must be upheld unless it is shown to be arbitrary or manifestly unsupported by reason. *In re K.T.L.*, 177 N.C. App. 365, 370 (2006) (court did not abuse discretion in denying motions of State and juvenile for hearing to be closed where testimony, findings of fact, and conclusions of law supported court’s decision). Important factors in *K.T.L.* were the publicity the case had already received and the widespread knowledge within the community of the allegations and the juvenile’s identity. *Id.* at 370–71.

The juvenile’s interest is most often served by closing the hearing to the public, thereby preserving the confidentiality of the proceedings. For instance, confidentiality would particularly benefit the juvenile in cases involving allegations of sexual activity or discussions of the juvenile’s mental health. When the hearing is closed, the juvenile is not subjected to potential emotional or psychological damage resulting from public knowledge of the allegations and evidence. The juvenile may also feel more at ease without additional people in the courtroom. A closed hearing may also be important in cases that draw the attention of the media or that involve gang-related activities, as the juvenile might be subjected to unwanted public reaction or reprisals. Counsel should consult with the juvenile before determining whether to move for a closed hearing.
In some districts, delinquency cases may be heard in a court session that includes other kinds of cases. In these instances, counsel must make a motion to close the hearing before it starts. If the motion is granted, the court must issue an order closing the hearing, requiring all persons not directly involved in the case to leave the courtroom. Counsel should request that a deputy be stationed at the courtroom door, or that a sign be posted stating that the court is in closed session, to prevent others from entering during the proceeding.

2.8 Right to Confidentiality

This section briefly reviews a juvenile’s right to confidentiality of information related to juvenile court proceedings. For a more in-depth discussion of this topic, see Janet Mason, *Confidentiality in Juvenile Delinquency Proceedings*, ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE BULLETIN No. 2011/01 (May 2011).

A. Juvenile Court Records

*Juvenile court records generally closed to public.* The clerk of superior court must maintain a complete record that includes every document filed in a juvenile case. G.S. 7B-3000(a). This record is not open to the public except by court order. G.S. 7B-3000(b). The juvenile court record is accessible to the following people without an order: the juvenile or the juvenile’s attorney; the juvenile’s parent, guardian, or custodian; the prosecutor; court counselors; and probation officers (as provided in subsection (e)(1) of G.S. 7B-3000 for the purpose of assessing risk related to supervision). The prosecutor has discretion to share information from the court file with magistrates and law enforcement officers sworn in this state, but may not provide a photocopy of any part of the record. G.S. 7B-3000(b).

The clerk’s records for juvenile cases include both paper files and electronic files maintained in Jwise, the electronic records management system for juvenile courts. As part of the Juvenile Justice Reinvestment Act of 2017, the General Assembly mandated that the Administrative Office of the Courts expand access to Jwise to prosecutors and juvenile defense attorneys by July 1, 2018. For a further discussion of access to Jwise, see infra § 19.2, Changes Effective in 2017.

If a court issues an order under G.S. 7B-3000(b) allowing access to a juvenile record, it may concurrently issue a protective order preventing further dissemination of the information. See generally Doe 1 v. Swannanoa Valley Youth Development Center, 163 N.C. App. 136, 142 (2004) (court issued protective order prohibiting disclosure of information from juvenile record beyond those directly involved in case and allowed parties to submit confidential information under seal).

The court may direct the clerk to seal any part of the court record, which can then be examined or copied only on court order. G.S. 7B-3000(c). This order extends to all people, including those that ordinarily have access to the juvenile court record. Counsel
should move to seal especially sensitive information in the file, such as mental health records or a psychological or sex offender evaluation, to provide additional protection to the juvenile. A sample motion and order to seal records is available on the Juvenile Defender website.

**Statutory exceptions for use in limited criminal court proceedings.** If a defendant is charged in an adult criminal proceeding for a Class A1 misdemeanor or a felony and he or she was less than 21 years of age at the time of the offense, law enforcement officers, magistrates, courts, and prosecutors may examine the defendant’s juvenile court records under G.S. 7B-3000(e). The following additional criteria must be met:

- the records involve adjudications for offenses that would be a Class A1 misdemeanor or felony if committed by an adult,
- the adjudications occurred after the defendant reached 13 years of age, and
- the records are only used for pretrial release, plea negotiation recommendations, and plea acceptance decisions.

If these criteria are met and the defendant’s juvenile court record is used, the records must remain confidential and must not be placed in any public record. G.S. 7B-3000(e).

An adjudication of delinquency for an offense that would be a Class A, B1, B2, C, D, or E felony if committed by an adult may be used in other ways against the juvenile in a subsequent criminal prosecution. The adjudication can be used to show “proof of motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake, entrapment or accident” under N.C. Evidence Rule 404(b). G.S. 7B-3000(f). It may also be used to prove an aggravating factor for felonies and capital cases on order of the criminal court after an in camera hearing to determine admissibility. Id. Counsel should explain these possible consequences to the juvenile if the juvenile is alleged to have committed one of the specified felonies, especially if there is an offer to plead to a misdemeanor or a lesser felony.

**Impeachment exception in limited circumstances.** Under Rule 609(d) of the North Carolina Rules of Evidence, evidence of an adjudication of delinquency is not generally admissible for impeachment purposes. In a criminal case, however, witnesses other than the defendant may be impeached with adjudications “if conviction of the offense would be admissible to attack the credibility of an adult and the court is satisfied that admission in evidence is necessary for a fair determination of the issue of guilt or innocence.” Id.

In a 1972 opinion, the North Carolina Supreme Court held that adjudications could be used to impeach a criminal defendant who is under the age of 18. *State v. Miller*, 281 N.C. 70, 80 (1972). However, *Miller* appears to be superseded by Rule 609.

**School exception for offenses that would be felonies if committed by an adult.** A statutory exception exists for information in the juvenile court file that must be released to the juvenile’s school if the case concerns an offense that would be a felony if committed by an adult. G.S. 7B-3101(a). The juvenile court counselor must notify the
school principal if a petition is filed alleging that the juvenile committed a felony, other than a Chapter 20 (motor vehicle) offense. G.S. 7B-3101(a)(1). If the court dismisses the petition after an adjudicatory hearing, the school must be informed of the dismissal. G.S. 7B-3101(a)(3).

The school must be notified if the court modifies or vacates any order or disposition regarding a juvenile alleged or found to be delinquent for such an offense, or if jurisdiction is transferred to superior court. G.S. 7B-3101(a)(2), (5). The principal also must be notified of any dispositional order, including an order that requires school attendance as a condition of probation. G.S. 7B-3101(a)(4).

Counsel should advise the juvenile that the school will receive this information. The principal will know if school attendance has been ordered as a condition of probation and will be expected to report unauthorized absences. The juvenile should also be informed that any school that is a member of the North Carolina High School Athletic Association prohibits a student who is adjudicated delinquent for an offense that would be a felony if committed by an adult from participating in sports. This might be an important factor for some juveniles in plea negotiations.

B. Juvenile Court Counselor’s Records

The juvenile court counselor’s records are not open to public inspection but may be examined by the juvenile or the juvenile’s attorney without a motion or court order. G.S. 7B-3001(c). Counsel should obtain these records and review them to develop potential defenses during the adjudicatory hearing or alternative dispositional plans for the juvenile. The court counselor’s records include “family background information; reports of social, medical, psychiatric, or psychological information concerning a juvenile or the juvenile’s family; probation reports; interviews with the juvenile’s family; or other information the court finds should be protected from public inspection in the best interests of the juvenile.” G.S. 7B-3001(a).

As part of the Juvenile Justice Reinvestment Act of 2017, the General Assembly amended G.S. 7B-3001 to provide that the court counselor must, on request, share information with a law enforcement officer about a juvenile if the officer is investigating a matter that could result in the filing of a complaint. The court counselor may not provide the officer with copies of any part of the court counselor’s record, and any information shared with the officer must remain confidential. The change is effective October 1, 2017. See infra § 19.2, Changes Effective in 2017.

C. Law Enforcement Records and Files

Law enforcement records and files of a juvenile case must be kept separate from those of adults and are not open to public inspection except on a court order. The following people may examine law enforcement records without a court order: the juvenile or the juvenile’s attorney; the juvenile’s parent, guardian, custodian, or authorized
D. Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice Records

Generally. Records of the Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice include both records of the local court counselor and of facilities to which the juvenile has been committed. Those who may access and obtain copies of Division records about a juvenile without a court order are the juvenile, the juvenile’s attorney, and the juvenile’s parent, guardian, or custodian, or authorized representative of one of those people. G.S. 7B-3001(c). Additionally, professionals within the Division who are directly involved in the juvenile’s case and juvenile court counselors may access the records without a court order. Otherwise, records maintained by the Division may only be disclosed pursuant to a court order. Id.; see also Doe 1 v. Swannanoa Valley Youth Development Center, 163 N.C. App. 136, 139 (2004) (deputy commissioner of Industrial Commission had authority to order discovery of records in a tort claims action).

Escape. If a juvenile who has been adjudicated delinquent escapes from secure custody, a detention facility, or a youth development center, the Division must release the following information to the public within 24 hours of the escape:

- the juvenile’s first name and last initial,
- the juvenile’s photograph, and
- the name and location of the facility from which the juvenile escaped or, if the juvenile’s escape was not from a facility, the circumstances and location of the escape.

G.S. 7B-3102(a). If deemed appropriate, the Division must also release a statement, based on the juvenile’s record, of the level of concern of the Division as to the threat the juvenile poses to himself, herself, or others. Id.

When a juvenile who is alleged to have committed a felony escapes from a detention facility or secure custody before adjudication, the Division is not required to release any information. However, the Division may release the same information described above within 24 hours after the escape if it determines, based on the juvenile’s record, that the juvenile presents a danger to himself, herself, or others. G.S. 7B-3102(b).

Before the Division releases information about the juvenile to the public, it must make a reasonable effort to notify the juvenile’s parent, guardian, or custodian. G.S. 7B-3102(e). If the juvenile is returned to custody before the information is released, the Division is prohibited from releasing it. G.S. 7B-3102(c).

E. Nontestimonial Identification Records

Limited authority to conduct nontestimonial identification procedures. A law enforcement officer must obtain a court order before conducting nontestimonial
identification procedures on a juvenile unless the juvenile has been charged as an adult or has been transferred to superior court for trial as an adult. G.S. 7B-2103. There are limited exceptions for fingerprints and photographs, discussed below.

**Retention and destruction of nontestimonial identification records.** Nontestimonial identification records of a juvenile 13 years of age or older who is adjudicated delinquent for an offense that would be a felony if committed by an adult may be kept in the juvenile court file. G.S. 7B-2108(3). But see G.S. 7B-2102(d), (e) (regarding retention and destruction of fingerprints). The records can be used by law enforcement officers only for comparison purposes in the investigation of a crime. “Special precautions,” which are not defined, must be taken to ensure that the nontestimonial identification records are “maintained in a manner and under sufficient safeguards” to ensure that they are accessible only to law enforcement officers for this purpose. G.S. 7B-2108(3).

All nontestimonial identification records must be destroyed if a juvenile petition is not filed, the juvenile is not adjudicated delinquent or convicted in superior court, or a juvenile under the age of 13 is adjudicated for an offense that would be less than a felony if committed by an adult. G.S. 7B-2108(1), (2).

**Fingerprints and photographs.** A law enforcement officer must take fingerprints and photographs without a court order in the following limited circumstances:

(1) the juvenile was 10 years of age or older at the time of allegedly committing a nondiver
tible offense (see infra “Nondivertible and divertible offenses” in § 5.3A, Preliminary Inquiry), a petition is to be filed, and the juvenile is in the physical custody of law enforcement or the Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice;

(2) the juvenile has been adjudicated delinquent for an offense that would be a felony if committed by an adult and was 10 years of age or older at the time the offense was committed; or

(3) the juvenile has been committed to a county juvenile detention facility.

G.S. 7B-2102(a), (a1), (b). Exception (1) applies to a juvenile in custody for a nondivertible offense before adjudication, while exception (2) applies after adjudication of an offense that would be a felony if committed by an adult. Exception (3) applies when a juvenile has been committed to a detention facility. There is no provision for fingerprints and photographs to be taken without a court order under any other circumstances.

**Destruction of fingerprints and photographs.** Counsel should file a motion to destroy fingerprints and photographs taken in violation of the provisions of G.S. 7B-2102. A sample motion and order to destroy fingerprints and photographs is available on the Juvenile Defender website. For example, there is no statutory provision for a juvenile charged with a divertible offense to be fingerprinted or photographed unless later adjudicated for an offense that would be a felony if committed by an adult. There is also no provision for photographing a juvenile who is adjudicated delinquent for an offense that would be less than a felony if committed by an adult.
Fingerprints and photographs taken pursuant to G.S. 7B-2102(a) must be destroyed if a petition is not filed within one year, the court does not find probable cause, or the juvenile is not adjudicated delinquent of an offense that would be a felony or misdemeanor if committed by an adult. G.S. 7B-2102(e). It is the responsibility of the chief court counselor to notify the local custodian of records, and the local custodian of records must notify any other record-holding agencies, when any of the above conditions are met. Id. A motion should be filed if the evidence is not destroyed according to statutory provisions.

F. Exception for Designated Local Agencies

The Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice is authorized by statute to designate local agencies that must share information on request concerning a juvenile who is the subject of a petition alleging abuse, neglect, dependency, delinquency, or undisciplined behavior. Designated agencies may include the local mental health facilities, health department, Department of Social Services, school, district attorney’s office, and Office of Guardian ad Litem Services. The Division is also included as an agency that may be a designated agency. Shared information is to be used “only for the protection of the juvenile and others or to improve the educational opportunities of the juvenile . . .” and must remain confidential and not open to public inspection. G.S. 7B-3100(a). Counsel should learn whether any local rule or order adds a local agency that is required to share information concerning a juvenile. See 14B North Carolina Administrative Code 11A.0301 (j) (chief district court judge may designate a local agency as an agency authorized to share information), 11A.0302 (governing information sharing among agencies).

G. Confidentiality on Appeal

If a juvenile appeals, the juvenile’s right to confidentiality continues in the appellate division. The juvenile’s appellate attorney typically prepares the record on appeal, which is a compilation of documents and filings from the juvenile court file in the trial division. Under N.C. R. App. P. 3.1(b), the cover of the record on appeal must contain a notice stating that the record is not subject to public inspection. In addition, the contents of the record may only be disclosed with permission of a court of the appellate division. Transcripts for juvenile delinquency appeals must include the same notice and may not be disclosed to the public without permission of an appellate court. Id.

Attorneys assigned to the appeal may only refer to the juvenile in briefs and petitions through the use of initials or a pseudonym. Id. The attorneys must also redact the juvenile’s name from any appendices or exhibits submitted with a brief or petition.

The courts of the appellate division release opinions for juvenile delinquency appeals to the public along with opinions in civil and criminal appeals. However, the caption of the opinion in a juvenile delinquency appeal only lists the juvenile’s initials. The juvenile is also referred to in the body of the opinion through initials or a pseudonym.
Transcripts in juvenile delinquency cases are usually prepared by court reporters who listen to audio recordings of the hearings. In other words, court reporters are not present in court taking contemporaneous notes of the proceedings. Court clerks retain the recordings in their records of juvenile delinquency cases. If a juvenile does not appeal, the trial court may enter an order directing the clerk to destroy any recordings of the proceedings that occurred in the case. G.S. 7B-3000(d).

2.9 Right to Appointment of Guardian

**Generally.** The Juvenile Code provides under Article 20, “Basic Rights,” that a guardian of the person may be appointed for the juvenile if no parent, guardian, or custodian appears at a hearing with the juvenile or if the court finds that it would be in the juvenile’s best interest. G.S. 7B-2001 (emphasis added). The guardian is given custody of the juvenile or the discretion to arrange placement, authority to consent to necessary remedial, psychological, medical, or surgical treatment, and authority to represent the juvenile in legal actions before any court. The guardian also may stand in loco parentis to consent to marriage, enlistment in the armed forces, and enrollment in school. *Id.*

Although this statute is listed under basic rights of the juvenile and is intended to safeguard the juvenile, it does not specify procedural protections in the appointment of a guardian for either the parent or the juvenile. It does not specifically provide for notice and a hearing regarding the proposed appointment, and it does not specify the standard for a judicial decision other than “best interests of the juvenile” or that the parent is absent from a hearing. G.S. 7B-2001. The juvenile statute may conflict with Chapter 35A, which applies specifically to guardianships and provides greater protections, as well as due process requirements.

**Considerations if parent, guardian, or custodian not present.** The presence of a parent, guardian, or custodian is mandated for any hearing for which the parent, guardian, or custodian receives notice. G.S. 7B-1805. If the parent, guardian, or custodian does not appear at a hearing after proper notice, counsel should consider the juvenile’s circumstances and wishes, as well as possible consequences, in deciding whether to request that the court appoint a guardian or compel the presence of the parent.

A supportive parent can be a positive factor in the outcome of a delinquency case by advocating for the juvenile, providing supervision, participating in treatment, and providing transportation. Conversely, the compelled presence of a parent adverse to the juvenile’s position may have a harmful effect.

An interested and active guardian may fill the role served by a supportive parent. Appointment of a guardian without notice to the parent and juvenile and without a hearing, however, could result in the appointment of an inappropriate guardian or a guardian to whom the juvenile objects, such as a disliked relative or the Department of Social Services.
**Guardian ad litem distinguished.** A guardian ad litem is a person who is appointed in a legal proceeding, often pursuant to Rule 17 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, to represent the interests of a party who is under a legal disability, such as minority or incompetence. See *infra* § 3.5J, Guardian ad Litem. For example, courts are required to appoint guardians ad litem to represent children in cases involving allegations of abuse or neglect. G.S. 7B-601. The duties of a guardian ad litem appointed under G.S. 7B-601 are primarily to investigate and determine the needs of the child. *Id.* In an incompetency case, a guardian ad litem is appointed to determine the respondent’s wishes regarding the proceedings and any proposed guardianship. G.S. 35A-1107(b).