

5.7 Confidentiality of Expert's Work

5.7 Confidentiality of Expert's Work

If counsel obtains funds for expert assistance, counsel will need to meet with the expert and provide the expert with information on those aspects of the case with which the expert will be involved. Depending on the type of expert, counsel may need to provide the expert with witness statements, reports, photographs, physical evidence, and other information obtained through discovery and investigation; in cases in which the defendant's state of mind is at issue, the expert may need to meet with and interview the client. To make the most effective use of the funds authorized for the expert's work, counsel may not want to provide the expert with all of the discovery in the case, particularly if voluminous, but counsel should provide the expert with all pertinent information. The failure to do so may make it more difficult for the expert to form an opinion and expose him or her to damaging cross-examination.

Counsel should anticipate that the information reviewed and work generated by an expert will be discoverable by the prosecution, including statements by the defendant and correspondence between the expert and counsel. Some protections exist, however.

- If the defense does not call the expert as a witness, the prosecution generally does *not* have a right to discover the expert's work, including materials on which the expert relied if not otherwise discoverable. *See supra* "Nontestifying experts" in § 4.8C, Results of Examinations and Tests (2d ed. 2013) (discussing restrictions on discovery of expert's work and circumstances when work may be discoverable).
- If the defense intends to call the expert as a witness, the prosecution generally is entitled to pretrial discovery about the expert and his or her findings. *See supra* § 4.8C, Results of Examinations and Tests (2d ed. 2013). The expert also must prepare a written report and provide it to the prosecution. *See supra* § 4.8D, Witnesses (2d ed. 2013).
- Once on the stand, an expert may be required to disclose the basis of his or her opinion, including materials he or she reviewed and communications with the defendant, if not revealed earlier in discovery. *See supra* "Testifying experts" in § 4.8C, Results of Examinations and Tests (2d ed. 2013); *see also generally* N.C. R. EVID. 705 (disclosure of basis of opinion); 2 KENNETH S. BROUN, BRANDIS & BROUN ON NORTH CAROLINA EVIDENCE § 188, at 760–72 (8th ed. 2018) (discussing application of Rule 705).

To prevent disclosure of the expert's work until required, counsel may want to have the expert enter into a nondisclosure agreement. A sample agreement is available in the [Non-Capital Trial Motions Bank](#) on the IDS website. *See also* N.C. STATE BAR REV'D RULES OF PROF'L CONDUCT R. 3.4(f) (2006) (lawyer may request person other than client to refrain from voluntarily giving relevant information to another party if person is agent of

client and the lawyer reasonably believes that person's interests will not be adversely affected by refraining from giving the information).

In *Crist v. Moffatt*, 326 N.C. 326 (1990), the Supreme Court held in a civil case that the defendant's lawyer could not interview the plaintiff's physician without the plaintiff's consent and could obtain information from the plaintiff's physician only through statutorily recognized methods of discovery. In *State v. Jones*, 133 N.C. App. 448, 463 (1999), *aff'd in part and rev'd in part on other grounds*, 353 N.C. 159 (2000), the Court of Appeals questioned whether this prohibition applies in criminal cases but did not decide the issue because it was not properly preserved. Regardless of whether a prosecutor may contact a defense expert without the defendant's consent, defense counsel still may instruct a defense expert not to discuss the case without the defendant's consent or unless otherwise ordered to do so.