5.5 Obtaining an Expert Ex Parte in Noncapital Cases

A. Importance of Ex Parte Hearing

Grounds to obtain ex parte hearing. In noncapital cases, the court hears requests for expert funding. Regardless of the type of expert sought, defense counsel should always ask that the court hear the motion ex parte—that is, without notice to the prosecutor and without the prosecutor present. In capital cases, applications for funding are made to IDS and are always ex parte; however, if IDS denies the application and the defendant requests funding from the court, the defendant should ask the court to hear the request ex parte. *See supra* § 5.3, Applying for Funding.

North Carolina first recognized the defendant's right to an ex parte hearing in *State v. Ballard*, 333 N.C. 515 (1993), and *State v. Bates*, 333 N.C. 523 (1993), which held that an indigent defendant is entitled to an ex parte hearing when moving for the assistance of a mental health expert. The court found that a hearing open to the prosecution would jeopardize a defendant's right to effective assistance of counsel under the Sixth Amendment because it would expose defense strategy to the prosecution and inhibit defense counsel from putting forward his or her best evidence. An open hearing also could expose privileged communications between lawyer and client (which the court found to be an essential part of the Sixth Amendment right to counsel) and force the defendant to reveal incriminating information (in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination). *See also State v. Greene*, 335 N.C. 548 (1994) (error to deny ex parte hearing on motion for mental health expert).

Although *Ballard* and *Bates* involved mental health experts, the reasoning of those cases supports ex parte hearings for all types of experts. Most judges now proceed ex parte as a matter of course if requested by the defendant. (Although earlier appellate cases in North Carolina found that the trial court did not abuse its discretion in refusing to hold an ex parte hearing (*see State v. White*, 340 N.C. 264 (1995); *State v. Garner*, 136 N.C. App. 1 (1999)), no reported appellate decision has addressed the issue recently.) If counsel must argue the point, he or she should emphasize the factors identified in *Ballard* and *Bates*—namely, that an open hearing could expose defense strategy and confidential attorney-client communications and impinge on the privilege against self-incrimination. The defendant need not meet the threshold for obtaining funding for an expert to justify the holding of an ex parte hearing. *See State v. White*, 340 N.C. 264, 277 (so stating); *see also State v. Phipps*, 331 N.C. 427, 451 (1992) (although the court denied defendant's

motion for an ex parte hearing on a fingerprint identification expert, the court stated that there are "strong reasons" to hold all hearings for expert assistance ex parte); *United States v. Sutton*, 464 F.2d 552 (5th Cir. 1972) (per curiam) (trial court erred by failing to hold hearing ex parte, as required by federal law, on motion for investigator); *Marshall v. United States*, 423 F.2d 1315 (10th Cir. 1970) (use of adversarial rather than ex parte hearing to explore defendant's need for investigator was error).

If request for ex parte hearing denied. If counsel cannot obtain an ex parte hearing, he or she must decide whether to make the motion for expert assistance in open court (and expose potentially damaging information to the prosecution) or forego the motion altogether (and give up the chance of obtaining funds for an expert). Some of the implications for appeal are discussed below. These principles may make it riskier for a trial court to refuse to hear a request for funding ex parte.

- If the defendant makes the motion in open court and the trial judge refuses to fund an expert, the defendant can argue on appeal that he or she could have made a stronger showing if allowed to do so ex parte. *See Bates*, 333 N.C. 523 (court finds it impossible to determine what evidence defendant might have offered had he been allowed to do so out of prosecutor's presence).
- If the defendant decides not to pursue the motion in open court, *Ballard* indicates that the defendant may not need to make an offer of proof to preserve for appellate review the trial judge's refusal to hold an ex parte hearing (*Ballard*, 333 N.C. 515, 523 n.2); nevertheless, counsel should ask to submit the supporting evidence to the trial court under seal.

Regardless of which way you proceed, make a record of the trial court's decision not to hear the motion ex parte.

B. Who Hears the Motion

After transfer of case to superior court. An ex parte motion for expert assistance in a noncapital case ordinarily may be heard by any superior court judge of the judicial district in which the case is pending. *Compare* N.C. GEN. R. PRAC. SUPER. & DIST. CT. 25(2) (for capital motions for appropriate relief (MARs), rule requires that expert funding requests made before filing of MAR and after denial of funding by IDS [discussed *supra* in § 5.3, Applying for Funding] be ruled on by senior resident judge or designee). Thus, any superior court judge assigned to hold court in the district ordinarily has authority to hear the motion, whether or not actually holding court at the time. *See* G.S. 7A-47 (in-chambers jurisdiction extends until adjournment or expiration of session to which judge is assigned). Any resident superior court judge also has authority to hear the motion, whether or not currently assigned to hold court in the district. *See* G.S. 7A-47.1 (resident superior court judge has concurrent jurisdiction with judges holding court in district to hear and pass on matters not requiring jury).

Before transfer of case to superior court. In some felony cases, a defendant may need an expert before the case is transferred to superior court. For example, in a case involving a

mental health defense such as diminished capacity or insanity, which turns on the defendant's state of mind at the time of the offense, counsel may want to retain a mental health expert as soon after the offense as possible. Counsel should be able to obtain authorization for funding for an expert from a district court judge in that instance. *See State v. Jones*, 133 N.C. App. 448, 463 (1999), *aff'd in part and rev'd in part on other grounds*, 353 N.C. 159 (2000) (holding that before transfer of a felony case to superior court, the district court has jurisdiction to rule on preliminary matters, in this instance, production of certain medical records). The superior court also may have authority to hear the motion. *See State v. Jackson*, 77 N.C. App. 491 (1985) (court notes jurisdiction of superior court before indictment to enter commitment order to determine defendant's capacity to stand trial).

C. Filing, Hearing, and Disposition of Motion

In moving ex parte for funds for an expert in a noncapital case, counsel should keep in mind maintaining the confidentiality of the proceedings as well as preserving the record for appeal.

The motion papers and any other materials should be presented directly to the judge who will hear the matter. Ordinarily, a separate written motion requesting to be heard ex parte (in addition to the motion for funds for an expert) is unnecessary. The request to be heard ex parte and request for funding for an expert can be combined into a single motion. Sample motions can be found in the Non-Capital Trial Motions Bank on the IDS website.

If the judge hears the motion ex parte but denies funds for an expert, counsel may renew the motion upon obtaining additional supporting evidence. *See generally State v. Jones*, 344 N.C. 722 (1996) (after court initially denied motion for psychiatrist, counsel renewed motion and attached own affidavit that related his conversations with defendant and included medical notes of defendant's previous doctor; court erred in denying motion). If the motion ultimately is denied, obtain a court reporter and ask the judge to hear and rule on the motion on the record (but still in chambers and ex parte). For purposes of appeal, it is imperative to present on the record all evidence and arguments supporting the motion. You should ask the judge to order that the motion, supporting materials, and order denying the motion be sealed and that the court reporter not transcribe or disclose the proceedings except on the defendant's request.

If the motion is granted, counsel likewise should ask that the order and motion papers be sealed and preserved for the record. Be sure to keep a copy of the motion and order for your own files. Also provide a copy of the signed order to the expert, which is necessary for the expert to obtain payment for his or her work.

D. Other Procedural Issues

There is no time limit on a motion for expert assistance. *But cf. State v. Jones*, 342 N.C. 523 (1996) (defendant requested expert day before trial; belated nature of request and other factors demonstrated lack of need).

The defendant ordinarily does not need to be present at the hearing on the motion. *See State v. Seaberry*, 97 N.C. App. 203 (1990) (finding on facts that motion hearing was not critical stage of proceedings and that defendant did not have right to be present; court finds in alternative that noncapital defendants may waive right to be present and that this defendant waived right by not requesting to be present). For a further discussion of the right to presence, see 2 NORTH CAROLINA DEFENDER MANUAL § 21.1, Right to Be Present.